

VOICE AND COUNTERVOICE

LITTLE HEARD - BY THE PEOPLE,
FOR THE PEOPLE!
CLARITY BY INTELLIGENT ANALYSTS
INDEPENDENT AND FREE OF CHARGE
INSPIRING



NOT TRANSPARENT CITIZENS -
TRANSPARENT MEDIA
POLITICIANS, AFFILIATIONS, ETC. WE NEED!
WORLD EVENTS UNDER THE
PUBLIC MAGNIFYING GLASS

NEW

NOW



HAND-TO-HAND EXPRESS

THE PEOPLE HAVE A RIGHT TO VOICE AND COUNTERVOICE

~ No. 14/13 ~

INTRO

Since Wednesday, 23 August, there have been rumors that the Syrian army has used chemical weapons in the settlements of the Syrian region Ghouta near Damascus. Citing the leader of the Syrian opposition Georges Sabra, the newspaper *Al Arabiya* reported that at least 1,300 people have been killed. The paper claims president Baschar al-Assad to be responsible for these attacks. The western media have almost unanimously taken up this report in the last few days and many of them want Obama to intervene militarily. Now the US forces are preparing for a military intervention which they obviously want to carry out even without approval of the UN Security Council. After the British parliament has declined its approval, the President of France Hollande confirmed the military aid of his troops. Whatever will happen, this V&C is serving as a contemporary document which captures how many unsolved aspects and questions there are with regard to Syria. And there are still much more...

The Editor (sm.)

ANNA-News in C-weapon free Dschorbar

Employees of the news agency ANNA-News that were on site in the relevant district East-Ghouta at the time of the alleged chemical weapon attacks describe the situation there: "At the end of last year, this place was occupied by elite forces of the al-Nusra front. Because of the ongoing fight, all civilians left a long time ago. There are no women or children here anymore whose dead bodies are presented to us by the western media these days. There are also no troops of the Free Syrian Army in that place but only in-

ternational terrorists from foreign Al-Kaida units as well as professional foreign mercenaries who were trained by US instructors in Jordania, among others." The journalists report that at this location an anti-terror operation was taking place on August 21st: "With dozens of cameras operating from different angles, we were recording without interruption the complete progress of the operation. From the pictures of the explosions every expert can easily see that the army only used conventional ammunition. There is

no creeping smoke at all. Considering the windy weather, the conditions of close combat and the soldiers not wearing any gas masks, the soldiers and the cameramen filming on the front-line should have been affected massively by chemical agents as well. But there is nothing of the sort. This shows once more that the media campaign about C-weapon operations by the army is a lie."

Sources:

original article

<http://apxwn.blogspot.ch/2013/08/anna-news-im-c-waffen-freien-dschorbar.html#comment-form>

Reminder of the second war in Iraq:

"Iraq has weapons of mass destruction", was claimed as the reason for war: After the war, still no evidence could be found for the existence of nuclear weapons in Iraq. Today, all media report without restrictions about the US lie back then.

Source: <http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Irakkrieg>

Baschar al-Assad about the chemical-weapon accusations

In an exclusive interview with "Izvestia"* the Syrian president Baschar al-Assad was talking among other things about the accusations of having used chemical weapons: "It's nonsense, at first there are accusations and only then people start collecting evidence. This is exactly what the most powerful country - the USA - is doing. In other words: on Wednesday we were accused and not until two days later the US government announced that they are beginning to collect evidence... We are accused that the army used chemical weapons in an area, which is allegedly under control of the rebel fighters. But there is no defined frontline between the army and the armed groups in this area. Could a state use chemical - or other weapons of mass destruction in an area where their own troops are concentrated? This contradicts elementary logic. Therefore these accusations are only of political nature, and the reason for that is the success of government forces against the terrorists.

The Syrian government and the UN-Commission have harmonized the common approach for the investigation of the alleged use of chemical weapons. The

result of the UN-Commission will be presented to the UN. Yet in all of this they are aware that every result can be interpreted according to the choice of individual countries." Contrary to western governments "Izvestia" shows how to respect human rights: The accused is granted hearing in accordance with the law and he is assumed "innocent until proven guilty."

**"Izvestia" is an influential national Russian newspaper.

Sources:

Original article:

<http://rt.com/op-edge/syrian-opposition-benefits-chemical-attack-791/>

Reminder of the first war in Iraq:

The media reported worldwide: "Iraqis kill babies in incubators." As a result, the congress approved war. It was confirmed later that the incubator story was entirely fictitious. The liar, the daughter of a Kuwaiti diplomat, received 10 million \$ for this lie.

Virus alert! Countervoice web sites seem to be at high risk of virus attacks. Hand-to-Hand Express avoids this danger - information concise and to the point, without using the internet - Advice for all who still consult the links: never access the internet from a PC with sensitive data.

You have important news? Write a short Hand-to-Hand Express article. - Clearly state the major point and name the responsible people! - Sources if possible without internet! - Then send your short article to SuG@infopool.info

Who benefits from the chemical-weapon attack?

sm. The geopolitical analyst Patrick Henningsen is raising the question with regard to the alleged chemical-weapon attacks: Who would benefit from such an attack? He is reminding us that only a few days before weapon inspectors of the UN had arrived in Syria and had moved into a hotel not far from the site of the attack. If Baschar al-Assad had indeed utilized chemical weapons during the presence of these inspectors he would have to be considered a complete crackpot. Because the USA and their partners don't grow weary of mentioning the "red line" which they introduced in 2012. It would be crossed as soon as chemical weapons would be used in Syria. A military intervention of the USA and their allies would follow inescapably. Therefore Assad would be the last one to have an advantage by crossing this "red line" – especially since he was able to control and stabilize the situation in Syria before.

Source:
<http://rt.com/op-edge/syrian-opposition-benefits-chemical-attack-791>

Crusade for the International Law?

ss. In the light of the current preparations of the USA and its allies for war against Syria it is worth to look back 67 years to highlight the historic context of the situation today: In January of 1946 the UN Security Council was founded – according to their own declaration – under the impression of the terrible Second World War. The United Nations had given to it "the main responsibility for keep-

“Even if Assad used chemical weapons, the west has no mandate to act as a global policeman.”

Hans Blix, former top UN weapon inspector

Syria and the chameleon USA

is. Right now the UN organizations UNICEF and UNHCR are accusing the worldwide public of passively watching as millions of Syrian children are trying to escape a cruel civil war. Yet in order to be able to become active for the true benefit of the helpless children we have to be aware that the Free Syrian Army which has started the civil war consists primarily of pro-western resistance groups, which are financed by the USA. The current American war tactics is disguised behind a chameleon color. We got to know the USA, which is increasingly pretending to act as a savior, through the wild-west movies: They mercilessly slaughtered the native population until the world community as well as the American people themselves started to loudly protest. Therefore the US empire changed its war tactics like the chameleon changes its color: it

installed ministries of defense and pretended to shoot back only if it was attacked. No sooner said than done: Among many other examples the USA plotted the war against Spain in 1898 in "self-defense" after the blast of the battle ship "USS Maine". In 1941 Pearl Harbor was attacked, reason enough for the USA to enter into World War II. At the latest with the twin towers collapsing on September 11th, 2001 and even more so with the missing weapons of mass destruction in unjustly bombed Iraq, part of the worldwide public realized that these were false-flag attacks in order to find reasons to enter into war. Ex-CIA agents who have left this American terrorist organization for reasons of conscience witness today of more than 10000 smaller and 3000 bigger campaigns of this sort in the last 40 years. In their third chameleon color today

the USA are financing terrorists, which are plunging the third world into chaos in order to be able to engage afterwards with "humanitarian wars". This means they are already appearing as saviors of the nations while they still continue to fight their slaughter wars in the old wild-west manner, which are about oil and many other natural resources and not about the exasperating fate of millions of small children.

But this is not all: according to witness reports of former CIA agents the USA have already secretly adopted a fourth chameleon color. Notice the associated witness reports on www.klagemauer.tv under the category "Bildung unzensiert".

Sources:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sNuWpuc_0ds&feature=player_detailpage
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sevYwkhK7gA>
<http://www.voltairenet.org/Wer-kampft-in-Syrien>

Reminder of the war in Vietnam

Ex-US minister of defense McNamara confirmed later that the claim of Vietnamese PT boats attacking the US-destroyer in the Gulf of Tonkin had been a lie. This lie cost the lives of 58.000 US-soldiers and 3 million Vietnamese people. *Source:* <http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Tonkin-Zwischenfall>

ing world peace and international security" back then. Since then according to the international law wars could only be declared with approval of ALL five permanent members of the Security Council: USA, Russia, China, France and Great Britain. Today, 67 years later, the USA and its western allies are officially preparing for a military attack against Syria even though two of the permanent members of the Security Council, Russia and China, are vehemently condemning this attack and have already vetoed previous resolutions. The western allies are about to start yet another bloody crusade for the international law because of so far unproven use of chemical weapons by the Syrian government while they break the highest rule of the same law at the same

time. To remind us: The war against Iraq ten years ago was also initiated without a UN mandate. It is officially considered today as an illegal war of aggression in which the international public was deliberately misguided by US-propaganda about alleged weapons of mass destruction. Could it be that this part of history threatens to repeat itself only because we failed to bring the US war criminals to justice after the war against Iraq? The British foreign minister William Hague told the BBC condescendingly that a military intervention in Syria would also be legitimate without a unanimous UN resolution. Is it purely ingenuousness or rather high-handedness that leads Hague to frivolously deactivate security systems that were once installed to avoid future

world wars? Who will avert the imminent disaster from Syria and who will atone for the past crimes in Iraq? Is there still a world power that is able to do that in the first place?

Sources:
[de.wikipedia.org/wiki/UNSicherheitsrat#](http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/UNSicherheitsrat#Beschl.C3.BCsse)
www.faz.net/aktuell/politik/ausland/militaerschlag-gegen-syrien-alleswird-sich-in-dieser-woche-abspielen-12546921.html

Closing Point •

As long as all of the raised questions have not been answered explicitly and convincingly, a war of aggression against Syria is infringing international law and has to be condemned in all directness.

The editor (sm.)

The sources were taken from the German edition and may contain German references.

Print for the Hand-to-Hand Express is not centralized. Please copy this yourself at least 3 times and pass it on!

Sources possibly attacked by hackers or lost in the internet are saved in the V&C archives.

Masthead: 09/09/13
V&C is a media for truth seekers and lovers of justice all over the world. The articles are contributed by the readers. It appears when it appears, and there is no commercial intent.

Responsible for the content:
Every writer, witness or author, everyone giving a reference, is solely responsible for his contribution. The contents of V&C do not necessarily reflect the viewpoint of the editors.
Editor:
Ivo Sasek, publisher address: Nord 33, 9428 Walzenhausen, Switzerland

The V&C is also available in: ENG, FRA, ITA, ESP, RUS, HOL, HUN, RUM, ISL
Subscription service: www.anti-zensur.info
Germany: AZZ, P.O. Box 0111, D-73001 Göppingen
Austria: AZZ, P.O. Box 61, A-9300 St. Veit a. d. Glan
Switzerland: AZZ, P.O. Box 229, CH-9445 Rebstein